

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CARLOS VALDOVINOS-DIAZ,  
ALEJANDRO ESTRADA-PEREZ,  
ROGELIO ANAYA-REYES, a/k/a  
"Sebastian Arambula Goiri",  
ALFREDO VALDOVINOS-DIAZ,  
ISIDRO BENITEZ-CASTILLO,  
OSCAR RODRIGUEZ-RODRIGUEZ,  
FABIAN VALDOVINOS-PEREZ and  
GERONIMO VALTIERRA-LOPEZ,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CR14-5159BHS

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the government's and Defendants CARLOS VALDOVINOS-DIAZ, ALEJANDRO ESTRADA-PEREZ, ISIDRO BENITEZ-CASTILLO, FABIAN VALDOVINOS-PEREZ, ALFREDO VALDOVINOS-DIAZ and GERONIMO VALTIERRA-LOPEZ' stipulated motion for an order continuing the pretrial motions due date and trial date. The Court, having considered the motion, the Defendants' speedy trial waivers, and having heard no objections to the motion, makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1       1. The parties agree that defense counsel require more time to go over discovery  
2 provided by the government, which includes 14 disks, 350 phone calls and over 525 text  
3 messages, most of which are in Spanish and have not yet been translated. Translating and  
4 analyzing these communications will require a significant amount of time.

5       2. The defense needs additional time to explore all relevant issues and defenses  
6 applicable to the case, which would make it unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for  
7 pretrial proceedings or for trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act  
8 and currently set for this case. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).

9       3. Taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a continuance is necessary to allow  
10 the defendant the reasonable time for effective preparation his defense, to explore resolution of  
11 this case before trial and to substantially ensure continuity of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. §  
12 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

13       4. Proceeding to trial absent adequate time for the defense to prepare would result in a  
14 miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(i).

15       5. The ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of  
16 the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B).

17       6. Carlos Valdovinos-Diaz waived speedy trial through March 15, 2015; Alejandro  
18 Estrada-Perez waived speedy trial through February 28, 2015; Alfredo Valdovinos-Diaz waived  
19 speedy trial through March 15, 2015; Isidro Benitez-Castillo waived speedy trial through March  
20 30, 2015; Fabian Valdovinos-Perez waived speedy trial through March 30, 2015; Geronimo  
21 Valtierra-Lopez waived speedy trial through March 30, 2015.  
22

1 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED

2 That the trial date is continued from September 16, 2014, to February 17, 2015, at 9:00  
3 a.m. Pretrial Conference is set for February 9, 2015, at 1:30 p.m. Pretrial motions are due by  
4 January 2, 2015. The resulting period of delay from July 31, 2014, to February 17, 2015, is  
5 hereby excluded for speedy trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)

6 Dated this 28<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2014.

7  
8 

9 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE  
10 United States District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22